arXiv:0805.0591v2 [math.DG] 9 Oct 2008

A CHARACTERIZATION OF DIRAC MORPHISMS

E. LOUBEAU AND R. SLOBODEANU

Abstract. Relating the Dirac operators on the total space and on the base manifold of a horizontally conformal submersion, we characterize Dirac morphisms, i.e. maps which pull back (local) harmonic spinor fields onto (local) harmonic spinor fields.

1. Introduction

Introduced by Jacobi [11] in 1848, harmonic morphisms are maps which pull back local harmonic functions onto harmonic functions and, more recently, they were characterized by Fuglede [7] and Ishihara [10] as horizontally weakly conformal harmonic maps. Their dual nature of analytical and geometrical objects has led to a rich theory (cf. [3]) which has encouraged the study of various other morphisms, that is maps preserving germs of certain differential operators. The central role of the Dirac operator in differential geometry and mathematical physics called for this approach to be applied to harmonic spinors. Unlike previous cases, the first hurdle is to make sense of a notion of pull-back of spinors by a map. This requires the identification of the spinor bundles involved, necessarily restricting our investigation to horizontally conformal maps between Riemannian manifolds and even-dimensional targets (cf. Section 2). Combining a chain rule for the Dirac operator and a local existence lemma, we show that a horizontally conformal submersion between spin manifolds is a Dirac morphism if and only if its horizontal distribution is integrable and the mean curvature of the fibres is related to the dilation factor, in a manner reminiscent

---

Date: October 9, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C27, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic spinors, submersions.

The second author benefited from a one-year fellowship of the Conseil Général du Finistère.

of the fundamental equation for harmonic morphisms. We conclude with some simple examples between Euclidean spaces and explicit our results in the set-up of [13], which inspired initially our construction.

2. PULL-BACK OF A SPINOR

Let (M^m, g) be a spin Riemannian manifold, the two-sheeted covering $\mathrm{Spin}(m) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{SO(m)}$ induces a double cover $\chi : P_{\mathrm{Spin}(m)}M \longrightarrow P_{\mathrm{SO(m)}}M$ of the bundle of positively oriented orthonormal frames by the principal $\mathrm{Spin}(m)$-bundle over M, such that $\chi(s \cdot g) = \chi(s) \cdot \rho(g)$, $\forall s \in P_{\mathrm{Spin}(m)}M, g \in \mathrm{Spin}(m)$. The associated bundle $\mathrm{Cl}(M) = P_{\mathrm{SO(m)}}M \times_{cl_m} \mathrm{Cl}_m$ is the *Clifford bundle*, where Cl_m is the Clifford algebra and cl_m the representation of SO(m) into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^m))$, and the *spinor bundle* is $\mathcal{S}M = P_{\mathrm{Spin}(m)}M \times_\gamma \mathcal{S}_m$, with γ the spinorial representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(m)$ on the Clifford module $\mathcal{S}_m = \mathbb{C}^{2^{[m/2]}}$ (cf. [12]).

A *spinor field* is a (smooth) section of $\mathcal{S}M$, $\Psi : U \subset M \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}M$, $\Psi(x) = [s_x, \psi(x)]$, where $s_x \in P_{\mathrm{Spin}(m)}M$ is a spinorial frame at $x \in M$ and $\psi : U \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_m$, the equivalence class being defined by

$$[s, \psi] = [s \cdot g^{-1}, \gamma(g)\psi],$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{Spin}(m)$. The covariant derivative is

$$\nabla_{e_j}\Psi = \left[s, \quad \mathrm{d}\psi(e_j) + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{k<l=1}^{m} \Gamma^l_{jk}\ e_k \cdot e_l \cdot \psi \right],$$

where $\chi(s) = \{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ is an orthonormal frame of TM, "$\cdot$" is the Clifford multiplication and the symbols $\{\Gamma^k_{ij}\}_{i,j,k=1,\dots,m}$ are defined by $\nabla_{e_i}e_j = \Gamma^k_{ij}e_k$.

The *Dirac operator* is the first-order differential operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D^M &: \Gamma(\mathcal{S}M) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{S}M) \\ &\Psi \mapsto D^M\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j \cdot \nabla_{e_j}\Psi. \end{aligned}$$

The above constructions extend to any oriented Riemannian bundle and, as for orientation, given three vector bundles $\mathcal{E}'$, $\mathcal{E}''$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \oplus \mathcal{E}''$ over M, a choice of spin-structure on any two of them uniquely determines a spin structure on the third ([12]).

Definition 1. A smooth map $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds is a *horizontally conformal map* if, at any point $x \in M$, $d\pi_x$ maps the *horizontal space* $\mathcal{H}_x = (\ker d\pi_x)^\perp$ conformally onto $T_{\pi(x)}N$, i.e. $d\pi_x$ is surjective and there exists a number $\lambda(x) \neq 0$ such that

$$(\pi^* h)_x\Big|_{\mathcal{H}_x \times \mathcal{H}_x} = \lambda^2(x) g_x\Big|_{\mathcal{H}_x \times \mathcal{H}_x}.$$

The function λ is the *dilation* of π and the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}_x$ is the *vertical distribution* $\mathcal{V}_x = \ker d\pi_x$.
The mean curvatures of the distributions $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are denoted $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mu^{\mathcal{V}}$ and $I^{\mathcal{H}}$ is the integrability tensor of $\mathcal{H}$.
A frame $\{V_a, X_i^*\}_{i=1,\dots,n}^{a=1,\dots,m-n}$ of TM will be called *adapted* if $V_a \in \mathcal{V}, a = 1, \dots, m-n$ and $\{X_i^*\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ is the horizontal lift by π of an orthonormal frame $\{X_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ on N.
Note that $\lambda \equiv 1$ corresponds to Riemannian submersions.
We call the map $\pi : (M^m, g_1) \to (N^n, h)$, where $g_1 = \pi^* h + g^{\mathcal{V}}$, the *associated* Riemannian submersion of $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$.

For the remainder of the article, we will make the blanket assumption that the dimension n of the manifold N is even. Since a general submersion $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$, between spin Riemannian manifolds, splits the tangent bundle TM into $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, if $\mathcal{H}$ admits a spin structure, so does $\mathcal{V}$ and

$$\mathrm{Cl}(M) \;=\; \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{H}) \,\hat{\otimes}\, \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{V}). \tag{1}$$

The spin structures $P_{\mathrm{Spin}(n)}\mathcal{H}$ and $P_{\mathrm{Spin}(m-n)}\mathcal{V}$ induce a spin structure $P_{\mathrm{Spin}(m)}M$ by prolongation of the principal bundle $P_{\mathrm{Spin}(n)\times\mathrm{Spin}(m-n)}M$ (cf. [9]). General properties of associated bundles of reduced principal bundles ([9, Theorem 3.1]) together with a dimension count yield the following isomorphisms of (associated) vector bundles

$$\mathcal{S}M \;=\; \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}. \tag{2}$$

For any map $\pi : M \to N$ into a spin manifold, consider the pull-back spinor bundle

$$\pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N = \{(x, [s, \psi]) \in M \times \mathcal{S}N \mid \varpi_{\mathcal{S}}([s, \psi]) = \pi(x)\},$$

where $\varpi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the projection map of $\mathcal{S}N$.
If π is a Riemannian submersion, then the isomorphism $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}$, due to the identification of orthonormal frames, simplifies (2) into (cf. [5])

$$\mathcal{S}M = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N \otimes \mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}. \tag{3}$$

Remark 1. When π is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres, $\mathcal{H}$ complete and N connected then the fibres are isometric to a Riemannian manifold F. If N and F are spin manifolds, consider on M the induced spin structure and, via the isomorphism $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}$, (2) reads (see [13])

$$\mathcal{S}M = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N \otimes \mathcal{S}F.$$

Remark 2. Since n is even, the Clifford algebra Cl_n possesses an irreducible complex module $\mathcal{S}_n$ of complex dimension $2^{n/2}$, the complex spinor module. When restricted to Cl_n^0 the spinor module decomposes into $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}_n^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}_n^-$, the submodules of spinors of positive and negative chirality, characterized by the action of the volume element, once an orientation is given. In particular, the spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(n) \subset \mathrm{Cl}_n^0$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_n^+$ and on $\mathcal{S}_n^-$ (the spinor representations).
Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ pulls back to $\mathcal{S}_n$ under the algebra isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}_n = \mathrm{Cl}_{n+1}^0$. In other words, we can regard $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ as the spinor representation of Cl_n, provided we define the action of Cl_n on $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ by $v \otimes \sigma \to e_0 \cdot v \cdot \sigma$.

When $\pi : (M^{n+1}, g) \to (N^n, h)$ is a Riemannian submersion with one-dimensional fibres into a spin manifold N^n, the manifold M^{n+1} inherits a natural spin structure, cf [14]. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}M = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N$.

These identifications justify the following definition.

Definition 2 (Riemannian submersions)**.** Let $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ be a Riemannian submersion between spin manifolds and endow the vertical bundle with the induced spin structure (if $m = n + 1$, we consider on M the natural spin structure inherited from N). Let $\Psi = [s, \psi]$ be a (local) spinor field on N. Since a local spin frame $s = \{X_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ on N lifts to an adapted spin frame on M

$$\tilde{s} = \{X_i^*, V_a\}_{i=1,\dots,n}^{a=1,\dots,m-n} \in P_{\mathrm{Spin}(n)\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{Spin}(m-n)}M|_{\pi^{-1}U},$$

where X_i^* is the horizontal lift of X_i and $\{V_a\}_{a=1,\dots,m-n}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\mathcal{V}$, we define the *pull-back* $\widetilde{\Psi}$ of Ψ to be

- If $m-1=n$, the section $\widetilde{\Psi}=[\tilde{s},\psi\circ\pi]$ of the bundle $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N$, identified with $\mathcal{S}M$.

- If $m-n\geq 2$, the section $\widetilde{\Psi}=[\widetilde{s},\widetilde{\psi}=(\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\alpha]$ in $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{S}N\otimes\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}$, identified with $\mathcal{S}M$, where α is a fixed (non-zero) section of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}$.

Remark 3. When $m-n\geq 2$, this notion of pull-back depends on the choice of the section α. In general, there exists no such non-vanishing global section.

Remark 4. Note that Clifford multiplication with this kind of spinor fields is given by

$$X^*\cdot\widetilde{\psi}=\widetilde{X\cdot\psi};\quad V\cdot\widetilde{\psi}=\mathrm{i}\widetilde{\overline{\psi}},$$

when $m=n+1$, and by

$$X^*\cdot((\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\alpha)=((X\cdot\psi)\circ\pi)\otimes\alpha;\quad V\cdot((\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\alpha)=(\overline{\psi}\circ\pi)\otimes V\cdot\alpha,$$

when $m-n\geq 2$, where X^* is the horizontal lift of the vector field $X\in\Gamma(TN)$, V is a unit vertical vector field and $\overline{\psi}$ is the conjugate of ψ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graduation (see [13]).

Remark 5. For a horizontally conformal submersion $\pi:(M^m,g)\to(N^n,h)$, we deform the metric on M into $g_1=\pi^*h+g^{\mathcal{V}}$ and denote by (cf. [12])

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\gamma &: \mathcal{S}M_1\to\mathcal{S}M\\ \xi_\gamma([s,\psi]) &= [\xi(s),\psi],\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(s)=\{E_i=\lambda E_i^1,V_a\}$ if $s=\{E_i^1,V_a\}$, the bundle isometry induced by the Spin-equivariant map

$$\xi:P_{\mathrm{Spin}(n)\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{Spin}(m-n)}M_1\to P_{\mathrm{Spin}(n)\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\mathrm{Spin}(m-n)}M$$

given by the natural correspondence between adapted orthogonal frames with respect to the two metrics: $E_i^1=\lambda^{-1}E_i, V_a^1=V_a$.

The Clifford multiplication will be given by

$$E_i\cdot\Psi=\xi_\gamma\left(E_i^1\cdot\Psi_1\right),\qquad V_a\cdot\Psi=\xi_\gamma\left(V_a\cdot\Psi_1\right),$$

where $\Psi = \xi_\gamma \circ \Psi_1$.

Definition 3 (Horizontally conformal submersions)**.** Let $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ be a horizontally conformal submersion between spin manifolds and endow the vertical bundle with the induced spin structure. Let $\Psi = [s, \psi]$ be a (local) spinor field on N. The *pull-back* of Ψ is $\widetilde{\Psi} = \xi_\gamma \circ \widetilde{\Psi}_1$, where $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$ is the pull-back of Ψ by the associated Riemannian submersion $\pi : (M^m, g_1) \to (N^n, h)$ and ξ_γ the bundle isometry between $\mathcal{S}M_1$ and $\mathcal{S}M$.

3. Dirac morphisms with high dimensional fibres

Throughout this section π has fibres of dimension at least two.

Definition 4. A horizontally conformal submersion $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ between spin manifolds is called a *Dirac morphism* if there exists a section $\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V})$, $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$-parallel in horizontal directions with $D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha - \frac{n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \alpha = 0$, and if for any local harmonic spinor Ψ defined on $U \subseteq N$, such that $\pi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$, the pull-back of Ψ (with respect to α) $\widetilde{\Psi} = \xi_\gamma \circ \widetilde{\Psi}_1$ is a harmonic spinor on $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq M$, where $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$ is the pull-back (with respect to α) of Ψ by the associated Riemannian submersion.

Remark 6. We assume, in Definition 4, the existence of the section α in order to construct pull-backs of spinors. Though these pull-backs will depend on the choice of α (if any), the two conditions on α will make the notion of Dirac morphism independent of the choice of such a section α.

We first need some lemmas.

Lemma 1 (Chain rule)**.** *Let $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ be a horizontally conformal submersion of dilation λ ($m - n \geq 2$) and ψ a (local) spinor field on N. If $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is the pull-back of Ψ by π, with respect to some section $\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V})$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}D^M\widetilde{\psi} =& \lambda\widetilde{D^N\psi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\left[(m-n)\mu^{\mathcal{V}} + (n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda)\right]\cdot\tilde{\psi}\\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i\cdot(\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}_{E_i}\alpha + \tfrac{1}{4}\ I^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\tilde{\psi}\\ &+ (\overline{\psi}\circ\pi)\otimes\left[D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha - \tfrac{n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\alpha\right],\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where $\{E_i\}_{i=1\ldots,n}$ *is a local orthonormal horizontal frame on* M *and* $I^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}$ *denotes* $\sum_{i<j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot I^{\mathcal{H}}(E_i,E_j)\cdot\widetilde{\psi}$ *(the standard action of vector-valued 2-forms on spinor fields).*

Proof. Let π be a horizontally conformal submersion of dilation λ. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ be an orthonormal frame on (N,h) and $\{V_a, X_i^*\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}^{a=1,\ldots,m-n}$ an orthonormal adapted frame on (M,g_1), where $g_1 = \pi^*h + g^{\mathcal{V}}$. With respect to the metric g, $\{V_a, \lambda X_i^*\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}^{a=1,\ldots,m-n}$ is an orthonormal adapted frame. Denote by ∇ and ∇^1 the (spinorial) connections corresponding to g and g_1, and note $E_i^1 = X_i^*$, $E_i = \lambda X_i^*$.

As $D^M\widetilde{\Psi} = [\tilde{s}, D^M\widetilde{\psi}]$, for the pull-back spinor field $\tilde{\psi}$

$$\begin{aligned}D^M\widetilde{\psi} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}\widetilde{\psi} + \sum_{a=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot\nabla_{V_a}\widetilde{\psi}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_i((\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\alpha) \qquad (H_0)\\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} E_i\cdot g(\nabla_{E_i}E_j,E_k)\ E_j\cdot E_k\cdot\widetilde{\psi} \qquad (H_1)\\ &+ \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j,a=1}^{n,m-n} E_i\cdot g(\nabla_{E_i}E_j,V_a)\ E_j\cdot V_a\cdot\widetilde{\psi} \qquad (H_2)\\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i,a,b=1}^{n,m-n} E_i\cdot g(\nabla_{E_i}V_a,V_b)\ V_a\cdot V_b\cdot\widetilde{\psi} \qquad (H_3)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{a=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot V_a((\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\alpha) \qquad (V_0)\\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,a=1}^{n,m-n} V_a\cdot g(\nabla_{V_a}E_i,E_j)\ E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\widetilde{\psi} \qquad (V_1)\\
&+\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,a,b=1}^{n,m-n} V_a\cdot g(\nabla_{V_a}E_i,V_b)\ E_i\cdot V_b\cdot\widetilde{\psi} \qquad (V_2)\\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot g(\nabla_{V_a}V_b,V_c)\ V_b\cdot V_c\cdot\widetilde{\psi} \qquad (V_3).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D^N\psi} &= \xi_\gamma(\widetilde{D^N\psi}^{\,1})\\
&= \xi_\gamma\left[(X_i^*\cdot X_i^*(\psi\circ\pi) + g_1(\nabla^1_{X_i^*}X_j^*,X_k^*)X_i^*\cdot X_j^*\cdot X_k^*\cdot(\psi\circ\pi))\otimes\alpha\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_1(\nabla^1_{X_i^*}X_j^*,X_k^*) = h(\nabla^N_{X_i}X_j,X_k)$.
The computation breaks down into five steps:

Step 1:

(5)
$$
(H_0)+(H_1)+(H_3) = \lambda\widetilde{D^N\psi} - \tfrac{n-1}{2}\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda)\cdot\widetilde{\psi} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i\cdot(\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}_{E_i}\alpha.
$$

As

$$
\begin{aligned}
(H_0)+(H_1) = &\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i\cdot[E_i(\psi\circ\pi)\otimes\alpha + (\psi\circ\pi)\otimes E_i(\alpha)]\\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} E_i\cdot g(\nabla_{E_i}E_j,E_k)\ E_j\cdot E_k\cdot\widetilde{\psi},
\end{aligned}
$$

in order to recognize the lift of $D^N\psi$, first observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\nabla_{E_i}E_j,E_k) &= g(\nabla_{\lambda X_i^*}\lambda X_j^*,\lambda X_k^*)\\
&= \lambda g_1(\nabla^1_{X_i^*}X_j^*,X_k^*) + \left[X_k^*(\lambda)\delta_i^j - X_j^*(\lambda)\delta_i^k\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Whilst

$$\begin{aligned} E_i \cdot \ E_j \cdot E_k \cdot \widetilde{\psi} &= \xi_\gamma(E_i^1 \cdot \ E_j^1 \cdot E_k^1 \cdot \widetilde{\psi}^1) \\ &= \xi_\gamma(X_i^* \cdot X_j^* \cdot X_k^* \cdot \widetilde{\psi}^1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_i \cdot E_i(\psi \circ \pi) \otimes \alpha &= \lambda E_i \cdot E_i^1(\psi \circ \pi) \otimes \alpha \\ &= \lambda \xi_\gamma(X_i^* \cdot X_i^*(\psi \circ \pi) \otimes \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (H_0) + (H_1) =& \lambda \xi_\gamma(\widetilde{D^N \psi}^1) + \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \cdot (\psi \circ \pi) \otimes E_i(\alpha) \\ &+ \xi_\gamma \left(\tfrac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \left[X_k^*(\lambda)\delta_i^j - X_j^*(\lambda)\delta_i^k \right] X_i^* \cdot X_j^* \cdot X_k^* \cdot \widetilde{\psi}^1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last term can be rewritten

$$\tfrac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \left[X_k^*(\lambda)\delta_i^j - X_j^*(\lambda)\delta_i^k \right] X_i^* \cdot X_j^* \cdot X_k^* \cdot \widetilde{\psi}^1 = -\tfrac{n-1}{2} \mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}_1}(\lambda) \cdot \widetilde{\psi}^1,$$

and, since $\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 \mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}_1}(\lambda)$, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -\tfrac{n-1}{2} \xi_\gamma \left(\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}_1}(\lambda) \cdot \widetilde{\psi}^1 \right) &= -\tfrac{n-1}{2} \lambda \mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}_1}(\lambda) \cdot \widetilde{\psi} \\ &= -\tfrac{n-1}{2} \mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln \lambda) \cdot \widetilde{\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up with (H_3), we obtain (5).

Step 2:

$$(V_2) = -\tfrac{m-n}{2}\ \mu^{\mathcal{V}} \cdot \widetilde{\psi}. \tag{6}$$

As $g(\nabla_{V_a}E_j, V_b) = -g(E_j, \nabla_{V_a}V_b)$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is integrable

$$\begin{aligned}(V_2) &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot(\nabla_{V_a}V_b)^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot V_b\cdot\widetilde{\psi}\\ &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{a<b=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot(\nabla_{V_a}V_b)^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot V_b + \sum_{a>b=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot(\nabla_{V_a}V_b)^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot V_b\right)\cdot\widetilde{\psi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{m-n}(\nabla_{V_a}V_a)^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}\\ &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{a<b=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot[V_a,V_b]^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot V_b\right)\cdot\widetilde{\psi} - \tfrac{m-n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{V}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}\\ &= -\tfrac{m-n}{2}\,\mu^{\mathcal{V}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}.\end{aligned}$$

Step 3:

$$(V_0) + (V_3) = (\overline{\psi}\circ\pi)\otimes D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha, \tag{7}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}(V_0) + (V_3) &= \sum_{a=1}^{m-n}(\overline{\psi}\circ\pi)\otimes V_a\cdot V_a(\alpha) + \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{m-n} V_a\cdot g(\nabla_{V_a}V_b, V_c)\, V_b\cdot V_c\cdot\widetilde{\psi}\\ &=(\overline{\psi}\circ\pi)\otimes V_a\cdot\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}_{V_a}\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Step 4:

$$(H_2) = \tfrac{1}{2}I^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi} - \tfrac{n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}. \tag{8}$$

As for Step 2, we have

$$(H_2) = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j,a=1}^{n,m-n} E_i\cdot g(\nabla_{E_i}E_j, V_a)\, E_j\cdot V_a\cdot\widetilde{\psi} = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot I^{\mathcal{H}}(E_i,E_j)\cdot\widetilde{\psi} - \tfrac{n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi},$$

where the terms $i=j$ give $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}$.

Step 5:

$$(V_1) = -\tfrac{1}{4}I^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}, \tag{9}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}(V_1) =&\tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,a=1}^{n,m-n} g(\nabla_{V_a}E_i, E_j)V_a\cdot\ E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\widetilde{\psi}\\ =&\tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,a=1}^{n,m-n}\left[g([V_a,E_i],E_j)-g(\nabla_{E_i}E_j,V_a)\right]V_a\cdot\ E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\widetilde{\psi}\\ =&\tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,a=1}^{n,m-n} g([V_a,E_i],E_j)V_a\cdot\ E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\widetilde{\psi}-\tfrac{1}{2}(H_2).\end{aligned}$$

But $g([V_a,E_i],E_j)=g([V_a,\lambda X_i^*],\lambda X_j^*)=\frac{V_a(\lambda)}{\lambda}\delta_i^j$, since $[V_a,X_i^*]\in\mathcal{V}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(V_1) =&-\tfrac{n}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{m-n}V_a(\ln\lambda)V_a\cdot\widetilde{\psi}-\tfrac{1}{2}(H_2)\\ =&-\tfrac{n}{4}\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{V}}(\ln\lambda)\cdot\widetilde{\psi}-\tfrac{1}{2}(H_2)\\ =&-\tfrac{n}{4}\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}-\tfrac{1}{2}(H_2)\\ =&-\tfrac{1}{4}I^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}.\end{aligned}$$

Summing up these five steps yields the chain rule. □

A generalization of [1, Proposition 2.4] to vector-valued functions yields local existence of harmonic spinors with prescribed value.

Lemma 2 (Local existence)**.** *For any point $p\in M$ and $\psi_0\in\mathcal{S}_pM$, there exists an open neighbourhood U of p and a harmonic spinor $\psi:U\rightarrow\mathcal{S}M$ such that $\psi(p)=\psi_0$.*

Theorem 1 (Characterization for horizontally conformal submersions)**.** *Let $\pi:(M,g)\longrightarrow(N,h)$ be a horizontally conformal submersion between spin manifolds and assume there exists a section α satisfying the conditions of Definition 4.*
Then π is a Dirac morphism if and only if its horizontal distribution is integrable and

$$(m-n)\mu^{\mathcal{V}}+(n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda)=0, \tag{10}$$

where $\mu^{\mathcal{V}}$ is the mean curvature of the fibres.

Proof. Let π be a horizontally conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and such that (10) is satisfied. In this case, the Chain rule (4) simplifies to

$$D^M\widetilde{\psi} = \lambda\widetilde{D^N\psi} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \cdot (\psi\circ\pi)\otimes \nabla^{\mathcal{V}}_{E_i}\alpha + (\overline{\psi}\circ\pi)\otimes\left[D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha - \tfrac{n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\alpha\right].$$

Let ψ be a local harmonic spinor and α a section of $\Gamma(\mathcal{SV})$, $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$-parallel in horizontal directions and with $D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha - \frac{n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\alpha = 0$. From the above formula, it follows that $D^M\widetilde{\psi} = 0$ and therefore π is a Dirac morphism.

Conversely, suppose that π is a Dirac morphism. Then, by Definition 4, there exists a horizontally parallel section $\alpha\in\Gamma(\mathcal{SV})$ satisfying $D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha - \frac{n}{2}\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\alpha = 0$, and, for a harmonic spinor field ψ on N, we have, according to (4)

$$\begin{aligned}(11)\qquad 0 = &-\tfrac{1}{2}\left[(m-n)\mu^{\mathcal{V}} + (n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda)\right]\cdot\widetilde{\psi}\\ &+\tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i<j=1}^{n} X_i^*\cdot X_j^*\cdot(\psi\circ\pi)\otimes I^{\mathcal{H}}(X_i^*,X_j^*)\cdot\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Putting $X = (m-n)\mu^{\mathcal{V}} + (n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda)$ and $V^{ij} = I^{\mathcal{H}}(X_i^*,X_j^*)$, (11) becomes

$$0 = -\tfrac{1}{2}X\cdot\widetilde{\psi} + \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i<j=1}^{n} X_i^*\cdot X_j^*\cdot V^{ij}\cdot\widetilde{\psi}.$$

As the value of $\widetilde{\psi}$ at $p\in M$ can be prescribed, the above equation implies

$$0 = -\tfrac{1}{2}X + \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{i<j=1}^{n} X_i^*\cdot X_j^*\cdot V^{ij}.$$

But since V^{ij} is vertical, X and $X_i^*\cdot X_j^*\cdot V^{ij}$ have different degrees, necessarily $X=0$ and $V^{ij}=0$. Therefore, if a horizontally conformal submersion is Dirac morphism, it must satisfy Equation (10) and have integrable horizontal distribution. □

Remark 7. (1) Note the analogy between Equation (10) and the fundamental equation for harmonic morphisms in [3].

(2) Compare Formula (4) with [5, (4.26)] and [13, 1.1.1].

(3) If the fibres are totally geodesic, the integrability of the horizontal distribution makes the section α "basic transversally harmonic", as introduced in [8].

Corollary 1. *A Riemannian submersion $\pi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ between spin manifolds is a Dirac morphism if and only if its fibres are minimal and its horizontal distribution is integrable.*

Recall that if π is a Riemannian submersion then $\mu^{\mathcal{H}} = 0$.

Remark 8. If the dilation function λ is a projectable function (i.e. $V(\lambda) = 0$), the conformal invariance of the Dirac operator ([12]) allows a correspondence between harmonic spinors of the spaces involved in the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(M, \lambda^2\pi^*h + g^{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{1}_M"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] \arrow[dr] & (M, \pi^*h + g^{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
(N, \tilde{\lambda}^2 h) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{1}_N"] & (N, h)
\end{tikzcd}$$

4. Dirac morphisms with one-dimensional fibres

In this section $m = n + 1$.

Definition 5. A horizontally conformal submersion $\pi : (M^{n+1}, g) \to (N^n, h)$ between spin manifolds is called a Dirac morphism if for any local harmonic spinor Ψ defined on $U \subset N$, such that $\pi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$, the pullback $\widetilde{\Psi} = \xi_\gamma \circ \widetilde{\Psi}_1$ is a harmonic spinor on $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset M$, where $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$ is the pullback of Ψ by the associated Riemannian submersion.

Lemma 3. (Chain rule). *Let $\pi : (M^{n+1}, g) \to (N^n, h)$ be a horizontally conformal submersion of dilation λ and ψ a (local) spinor field on N, then*

(12)
$$D^M \widetilde{\psi} = \lambda \widetilde{D^N \psi} - \frac{1}{2}\left[\mu^{\mathcal{V}} + (n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda) + n\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\right] \cdot \widetilde{\psi} + \frac{1}{4} I^{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \widetilde{\psi}.$$

Proof. Take $\{X_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ an orthonormal frame on (N^n, h) and $\{V, E_i = \lambda X_i^*\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ an adapted frame on (M^m, g). Let Ψ be a (local) spinor field on N and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ its pullback by π. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 1, except that $(H_0) = \sum E_i \cdot E_i(\psi \circ \pi)$, $(V_0) = 0$ and the terms $(H_3), (V_3)$ do not appear. $\square$

Note that $\mu^{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \widetilde{\psi} = i\|\mu^{\mathcal{H}}\|^2 \widetilde{\overline{\psi}}$ as $V \cdot \widetilde{\psi} = i\widetilde{\overline{\psi}}$.

Theorem 2. *A horizontally conformal submersion* $\pi : (M^{n+1}, g) \to (N^n, h)$ *between spin manifolds is a Dirac morphism if and only if its horizontal distribution is integrable and minimal, and*

$$\mu^{\mathcal{V}} + (n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\mathrm{ln}\lambda) = 0,$$

where $\mu^{\mathcal{V}}$ *is the mean curvature of the fibres.*

Proof. The argument is similar to the one of Theorem 1, except that

$$X = \mu^{\mathcal{V}} + (n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda) + n\mu^{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Observe that $X = 0$ if and only if $\mu^{\mathcal{V}} + (n-1)\mathrm{grad}^{\mathcal{H}}(\ln\lambda) = 0$ and $\mu^{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, as they belong to orthogonal distributions. $\square$

Corollary 2. *A Riemannian submersion* $\pi : (M^{n+1}, g) \to (N^n, h)$ *between spin manifolds is a Dirac morphism if and only if its horizontal distribution is integrable and the fibres are minimal.*

Remark 9. Suppose that π is a Riemannian submersion.

(1) The Chain Rule (12) gives us the formula of [14] (where the fibres are minimal)

$$D^M\widetilde{\Psi} = \widetilde{D^N\Psi} - \frac{1}{2}\,\mu^{\mathcal{V}} \cdot \widetilde{\Psi} - \frac{1}{4}i\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_j^* \cdot A_{X_j^*}V \cdot \widetilde{\overline{\Psi}}, \tag{13}$$

where A denotes the second O'Neill tensor of π.

(2) If π is a Dirac morphism, any pullback spinor field is parallel along the fibres and its *metric Lie derivative* ([6]) vanishes.

Remark 10. When the fibres are circles, a similar chain rule was obtained by Ammann in [2].

5. Examples

Example 1 (Dirac morphisms from 3 to 2-dimensional Euclidean spaces)**.** With respect to the irreducible representation γ of Cl_2 given by Pauli matrices, a spinor field on $(\mathbb{R}^2, \langle , \rangle_{\text{standard}})$ will be $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^+ \\ \psi^- \end{pmatrix}$, in a global spin frame $(e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2})$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and the Dirac operator on $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$D^{\mathbb{R}^2} = \gamma(e_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \gamma(e_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a Riemannian submersion $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, the pull-back of ψ, $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi \circ \pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a spinor field on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with respect to the frame $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, v\}$, $v \in \operatorname{Ker} d\pi$. Supposing $\mathcal{H}$ integrable, this spin adapted frame can be chosen to be $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\}$, and with the Pauli representation, the Dirac operator on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$D^{\mathbb{R}^3} = i\sigma_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \begin{pmatrix} i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ψ be an arbitrary harmonic spinor on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (so ψ^+ a holomorphic function), then $\widetilde{\psi}^+$ is also harmonic if and only if

$$\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial x_2}, \quad \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_2} = -\frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial x_1}, \quad \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_3} = \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial x_3} = 0.$$

The analogous question for $\widetilde{\psi}^-$ involves a change of sign in the first two equalities (i.e. π must be anti-holomorphic with respect to $x_1 + ix_2$).

These conditions are exactly the harmonicity of π, which is equivalent to the minimality of the fibres.

Example 2 (Dirac morphisms from 4 to 2-dimensional Euclidean spaces)**.** A spinor field on $(\mathbb{R}^4, \langle , \rangle_{\text{standard}})$ can be seen as a $\mathbb{C}^4$-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^4$ once a global spin frame is chosen. Using Pauli matrices, the Dirac operator on $\mathbb{R}^4$ can be described as

$$D^{\mathbb{R}^4} = \gamma_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Riemannian submersion and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ a spinor field on $(\mathbb{R}^2, \langle , \rangle_{standard})$. Then the pull-back $\widetilde{\psi}$ of ψ is $(\psi \circ \pi) \otimes \alpha : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$. With respect to $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, v, w\}$, $v, w \in \text{Ker } d\pi$, assuming $\mathcal{H}$ integrable and choosing a frame $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\}$,

$$\widetilde{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\psi}^+ \\ \widetilde{\psi}^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^+\alpha^+ \\ \psi^-\alpha^- \\ \psi^+\alpha^- \\ \psi^-\alpha^+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conditions of harmonicity and parallelism make $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ a harmonic spinor field with respect to the variables x_0, x_1 (i.e. α^+ is holomorphic and α^- anti-holomorphic). Take a harmonic spinor ψ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (i.e. ψ^+ is a holomorphic function), one can directly check that $\widetilde{\psi}^+$ is harmonic, for any α, if and only if π satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial x_3}, \quad \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_3} = -\frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial x_2}.$$

The same question for $\widetilde{\psi}^-$ merely introduces a different sign. Again, this forces π to be harmonic, i.e. its fibres are minimal.

Example 3 (Moroianu's projectable spinors)**.** In [13], Moroianu considers a principal fibre bundle $\pi : (M^m, g) \longrightarrow N$ with compact structural group G, over a compact spin manifold (N^n, h), such that π is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres and the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is a principal connection.

Since its tangent space is trivial, G admits a canonical spin structure, and a spinor $(\psi \circ \pi) \otimes \alpha$ is called projectable if $\alpha : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{m-n}$ is a constant function with respect to the canonical frame of left-invariant vector fields. To have a D^M-invariant notion of projectable spinor, it is necessary and sufficient to suppose G commutative ([13])

Let X^* be the horizontal lift of a vector field X on N and, using $[V, X^*] = 0$ for $V \in \mathcal{V}$, since $\mathcal{H}$ is a principal connection, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}_{X^*}\alpha =& X(\alpha) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{b<c=1}^{m-n} g(\nabla_{X^*}V_b, V_c) V_b \cdot V_c \cdot \alpha \\
=& X^*(\alpha) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{b<c=1}^{m-n} g(\nabla_{V_b}X^*, V_c) V_b \cdot V_c \cdot \alpha \\
=& X^*(\alpha) - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{b<c=1}^{m-n} g(X^*, \nabla_{V_b}V_c) V_b \cdot V_c \cdot \alpha \\
=& X^*(\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

since the fibres are totally geodesic. Therefore the condition of parallelism of α translates into constancy in horizontal directions. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha &= V_a \cdot \nabla^{\mathcal{V}}_{V_a}\alpha \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{m-n} V_a \cdot V_a(\alpha) + \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{m-n} V_a \cdot g(\nabla_{V_a}V_b, V_c)\ V_b \cdot V_c \cdot \alpha \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{m-n} V_a \cdot V_a(\alpha) + \tfrac{3}{4}\sum_{a<b<c=1}^{m-n} g([V_a, V_b], V_c)\ V_a \cdot\ V_b \cdot V_c \cdot \alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

where, on a Lie group, $g([V, W], Z) = g(V, [W, Z])$ if g is an invariant metric. Clearly, if α is a constant function and G is commutative (i.e. the structural constants vanish) then $D^{\mathcal{V}}\alpha = 0$.

Therefore a projectable spinor field is the pull-back of some spinor field on the base, with respect to α satisfying the two conditions required for Dirac morphisms. Hence, Theorem 1 says that a principal bundle over a spin manifold with commutative structural group is a Dirac morphism if and only if it is flat.

References

[1] Alinhac, S., Gérard, P.: *Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels et théorème de Nash-Moser*. InterEditions, Paris; Éditions du CNRS, Meudon, 1991

[2] Ammann, B.: The Dirac operator on collapsing S^1-bundles. Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom. **16**, Univ. Grenoble I, Saint-Martin-d'Hères, 1998

[3] Baird, P., Wood, J.C.: *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds.* Oxford Univ. Press, 2003

[4] Bär, C., Gauduchon, P., Moroianu, A.: Generalized cylinders in semi-Riemannian and Spin geometry. Math. Zeit. **249**, 545–580 (2005)

[5] Bismut, J.-M., Cheeger, J.: η-invariants and their adiabatic limits. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2**, 33–70 (1989)

[6] Bourguignon, J.-P., Gauduchon P.: Spineurs, opérateurs de Dirac et variations de métriques. Comm. Math. Phys. **144**, 581–599 (1992)

[7] Fuglede, B.: Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **28**, 107–144 (1978)

[8] Glazebrook, J.F., Kamber, F.: Transversal Dirac families in Riemannian foliations. Comm. Math. Phys. **140**, 217–240 (1991)

[9] Husemoller, D.: *Fibre Bundles.* Springer-Verlag, 1994

[10] Ishihara, T.: A mapping of Riemannian manifolds which preserves harmonic functions. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **19**, 215–229 (1979)

[11] Jacobi, C.G.J.: Über eine Lösung der partiellen Differentialgleichung $\Delta(V) = 0$. J. Reine Angew. Math. **36**, 113–134 (1848)

[12] Lawson, H., Michelsohn M.-L.: *Spin Geometry.* Princeton University Press, 1989

[13] Moroianu, A.: *Opérateur de Dirac et submersions riemanniennes.* Thèse de Doctorat, Ecole Polytechnique, 1996

[14] Moroianu, A.: La première valeur propre de l'opérateur de Dirac sur les variétés kählériennes compactes. Comm. Math. Phys. **169**, 373–384 (1995)

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, 6, Avenue Victor Le Gorgeu, CS 93837, 29238 Brest Cedex 3, France

E-mail address: `loubeau@univ-brest.fr`

Faculty of Physics, Bucharest University, 405 Atomiştilor Str., CP Mg-11, RO - 077125 Bucharest, Romania.

E-mail address: `radualexandru.slobodeanu@g.unibuc.ro`